

28 **Helena Mao - Nova 42**

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1032019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership FZ**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning SJ

<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(100-70)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(100-70)</u>
____ Franklin Zhu	____ <u>87</u>	____ Soren Jensen	____ <u>98</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Helena
JUDGE'S SIGNATURENova 42
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

- You did not have a value or framework, that made it very difficult to follow your arguments.
- Your contention - you did not introduce it or define it, you read excerpts of research, which again, makes it hard to follow. While
- the research you used had good information, it was a lot and you need to organize it more for your audience.
- Strong intro, providing the definitions help, good structure and strong rebuttal.
- Your arguments continuously tied back to your contentions - you strengthened your case with every cross and speech.
- Side note on body language - your eye contact was good, you have a habit of wiping under your chin and scratching your right ear - try refraining from doing that, it takes away from your presence.

25 **Helena Mao - Nova 42**

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1032019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership LW**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning DC

<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(100-70)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(100-70)</u>
____ Luke Wu	____ <u>90</u>	____ Daniel Choi	____ <u>92</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Helena
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE*NOVA 42*
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

- *You forgot to state your values, your contentions would've made more sense if you did.*
- *Providing definitions of public health and criminal activities was good - it helped anchor your arguments.*
- *Too much focus on Portugal - you had other contentions and they were hardly mentioned.*

- *You forgot to state your values! I only found out because your opponent asked.*
- *You need to tie contentions back to your values better.*
- *Strong contentions - and you explained them well in opening and rebuttal.*

29 Emma Martin - La Reina

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1052019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Nova 42 Academy SZ**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning THNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)AFF Sabrina Zhang97NEG Timothy Ha90**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Emma
JUDGE'S SIGNATURELA REINA
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

AFF gets ~~an~~ makes non-unique claim on neg's only
 offense; also link chain super shaky on INC CI - AFF
 wins FW debate - wins that abuse of justice doesn't achieve
 either side's FW claims. AFF ~~has~~ HIV/AIDS impact stands; NEG
 results w/ an anecdotal claim rebutted by AFF stats - I want
 impact calc - not evidence args - I don't wanna play the
 "whose source is better game"; tell me what ur args do and
 what they access

26 **Daniel Kyle - Nova 42**

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1042019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning BJ**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
LJNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)

____ Brian Jiong

____ Lily Johnson

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE_____
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

100-800

FOR BOTH

27

Daniel Kyle - Nova 42

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1042019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TH**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning PPNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)

Ted Hong

87

Phillip Park

85

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!Comments

Ted: you don't need that many definitions. ~~you should have~~
~~apoint it~~ it would be a good idea to show why
 making public health is good. your 1 and 2 contentions
 are very similar.

Phillip: Ask more questions than just clarifying ones.
 making society safe isn't really a strong VC.
 Try to have more evidence for each point.
 when you refute you need more than just saying
 Portugal.

RFD: quote aff. Neg doesn't really show how a public health
 system is bad and harmful overall. aff shows how
 current system bad and public health can work.

30 Rebecca Cannon - Wilshire

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1102019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CF**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning NHNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)

Christina Feng

86

Nelson Hu

84

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!

Affirmative very good preparation.
Excellent delivery, eye contact and concise.
However, The Public Health rebuttal on
mandatory treatment
(i.e. burglary, murder, rape, etc.)
did not address the crime if committed
(i.e. incarceration)

Negative needs much strengthening
on supported arguments, such as leniency
on drug offenses and drug dealers. Drug
dealers are the source of drugs, therefore crime.
Affirmative wins proving that
incarceration does not solve the problem, only
makes it worse.

32 Rebecca Cannon - Wilshire

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1102019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning TS**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
ILNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)

Tarun Subramanian

Ivan Leong

No
Show**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

Rebecca Cannon

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Wilshire Academy

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!Ivan
Leong > Kudos
"No Show"

31 **Kelly Barber - La Reina**

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1072019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 11:00 am****Ballot Due: 12:45 pm****Affirmative:** Nova 42 Academy AK**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
USNamePoints
(100-70)NamePoints
(100-70)

Andrew Kim

95

Uma Shakla

70

Forfeited
in Neg Constructive**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Henry Burr
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!AffNeg• ~~The~~ *Volunteer*

24 Kelly Barber - La Reina

Open LD Round 2- 11:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 107

2019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 11:00 am

Ballot Due: 12:45 pm

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JL

Negative: Nova 42 Academy HX

Name	Points (100-70)	Name	Points (100-70)
Jeffrey Liu	90	Henry Xu	85 88

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

May Buer
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!

Aff

- talk ~~more~~ more about your values and how they relate to your case
- ~~talk about~~ add more evidence on ~~why~~ why public health is better than an criminal justice approach
- ~~very articulate and makes sure~~
- Very good on elaborating on your contentions as well as refuting your opponents case.
- Need more evidence going against drug courts

Neg

- provided more evidence on ~~why~~ why criminal justice was better
- drug courts was a great example of how the Criminal justice system works
- ~~don't~~ make sure to hit all their ~~contentions~~ contentions and don't drop points
- ~~drug~~ ^{define} drug courts, and ~~how~~ what they are, and the importance of them.
- overall neg wins because he addressed and had more evidence ~~why~~ why criminal

justice system
is better ✓

than a public health
approach